	Case 2:17-cr-00585-DJH Document 1	0 Filed 04/27/17 Page 1 of 2	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ELIZABETH A. STRANGE Acting United States Attorney District of Arizona MATTHEW BINFORD Arizona State Bar No. 029019 Assistant U.S. Attorney Two Renaissance Square 40 N. Central Ave., Suite 1200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Telephone: 602-514-7756 Matthew.Binford@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
11	United States of America,		
12	Plaintiff,	No. CR-17-585-PHX-DJH	
12	VS.	NOTICE OF AUTHORITY	
13	Thomas Mario Costanzo		
15	a.k.a. Morpheus Titania,		
16	Defendant.		
17	At the detention hearing held earlier today, the government relied on United States		
18	v. Santos-Flores, 794 F.3d 1088 (9th Cir. 2015), to support its argument that, in		
19	determining whether detention was appropriate the Court could rely on prior failure to		
20	appear charges that did not result in convictions and on the number of Costanzo's prior		
21	arrests. Although the government acknowledges that the Court's ruling today was not		
22	based on those specific factors, in an abundance of caution, and because specific citations		
23	to the case were not provided to the Court during the hearing, the government provides the		
24	following citations from the decision.		
25	In Santos-Flores, the Ninth Circuit affirmed the District Court's alternative ruling		
26	that a defendant was a voluntary flight risk under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e). In doing so, the		
27	Court of Appeals specifically mentioned a "prior <i>charge</i> of failure to appear" in a separate		
28	proceeding, and noted that the prior failu	re to appear was a "[p]rimary factor." Santos-	
_0			

## Case 2:17-cr-00585-DJH Document 10 Filed 04/27/17 Page 2 of 2

1	Flores, 794 F.3d at 1092 (emphasis added). The Court of Appeals also referenced "a	
2	number of other prior arrests" beyond the failure to appear charge. <i>Id.</i> The Court went on	
3	to state that "[c]onsideration of a defendant's record concerning appearance at court	
4	proceedings and other past conduct is proper under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(3)(A)." Id.	
5	Respectfully submitted this 27th day of April, 2017.	
6		
7	ELIZABETH A. STRANGE Acting United States Attorney District of Arizona	
8	s/ Matthew Binford	
9	MATTHEW BINFORD	
10	Assistant U.S. Attorney	
11		
12		
13		
14	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
15	I hereby certify that on the above filing date, I electronically transmitted the attached	
16	document to the CM/ECF system.	
17 18	<u>s/ Matthew Binford</u> Matthew Binford Assistant U.S. Attorney	
19	Assistant 0.5. Attorney	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	- 2 -	